



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/138,218 08/21/98 TARKIAINEN

M 466-008195-U

CLARENCE A GREEN
PERMAN & GREEN
425 POST ROAD
FAIRFIELD CT 06430

TM02/1109

EXAMINER

GESESSE, T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED:

11/09/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action SummaryApplication No.
09/138,218Applicant(s)
Tarkiainen et alExaminer
Gesesse, TilahunGroup Art Unit
2683☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 22, 2000☒ This action is **FINAL**.☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.**Application Papers**☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).☒ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been☒ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).**Attachment(s)**☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emery et al (US 5,353,331).

As per claims 1-6 and 9, Emery et al disclose a method for the transmission of messages using a message service to the mobile station of a recipient, who has a primary mobile station capable of receiving at least calls and messages (PCS subscriber), and at least one secondary mobile station capable of receiving at least messages (a voice mailbox service, a third party line such as that of secretary),

directing messages addressed to the primary mobile station to any of the secondary mobile stations of the recipient, irrespective of calls to and from the primary mobile station (transfer or redirect the call to an alternate termination point indicated in the subscriber's service graph). The SSP then terminates the call in manner specified by the service graph, see col. 23 lines 31-51..

Art Unit: 2746

Furthermore, Emery et al disclose there are several types of unavailability recognized by current cellular radio system, such as busy and out of range , see col. 23 lines 53-58.

Therefore , it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to direct message addressed to mobile unit to alternative terminal when the unit is busy (terminal is in use), as taught by Emery , in order to retrieve all call forwarded to subscriber terminal.

3. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emery et al in view of Kitzik (US 5,983,073).

As per claims 7-8 , Emery et al disclose everything as explained above except messages are generating on the basis of notification of calender events. However , Kitzik discloses the notebook unit can used in an open configuration on a desktop , airline tray or wide variety of other computer environments see col.9 lines 59-66. It is considered that the notebook unit has the capability of organizing messages such as meeting time notification and so forth. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify Emery in notifying calender events , as disclosed by Kitzik , in order to track all communication and notify the user events occurring at particular time.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2746

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9508 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2746

*Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).*


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun Gesesse whose telephone number is (703) 308-5873.. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895 The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-6306 or (703) 308-6296.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Nov.3, 2000

Tilahun Gesesse


EDWARD F. URBAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ATTACHMENT TO AND MODIFICATION OF
NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY (PTO-37)

(November, 2000)

NO EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE PERMITTED TO FILE CORRECTED OR FORMAL DRAWINGS, OR A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION, notwithstanding any indication to the contrary in the attached Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).

If the following language appears on the attached Notice of Allowability, the portion lined through below is of no force and effect and is to be ignored¹:

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE to comply with the requirements noted below is set to EXPIRE **THREE MONTHS** FROM THE "DATE MAILED" of this Office action. Failure to comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. ~~Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).~~

Similar language appearing in any attachments to the Notice of Allowability, such as in an Examiner's Amendment/Comment or in a Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948, is also to be ignored.

¹ The language which is crossed out is contrary to amended 37 CFR 1.85(c) and 1.136. See "*Changes to Implement the Patent Business Goals*", 65 *Fed. Reg.* 54603, 54629, 54641, 54670, 54674 (September 8, 2000), 1238 *Off. Gaz. Pat. Office* 77, 99, 110, 135, 139 (September 19, 2000).